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Lagrange interpolation estimates a function at a reference position $\chi$ from known values of the function at distinct non-uniformly spaced points $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Here, the corresponding $n$-point finite-difference formulas are derived to estimate derivatives up to order $n - 1$ at $\chi$. A recurrence relation permits the errors to be determined to any accuracy as a Taylor series. The error coefficient multiplying the $n + j$'th derivative is a polynomial of order $j + 1$ in the elementary symmetric functions for the displacements $x_1 - \chi, \ldots, x_n - \chi$. Appendices state finite difference formulas to estimate the derivatives and the first four error terms for $n = 1, \ldots, 5$.
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1. Introduction

Computational engineering often requires the numerical solution of differential equations. A natural and direct way to construct finite-difference computational models is to replace the differential operators $\partial^d / \partial x^d$ at some reference point $x = \chi$ by discrete counterparts $D_d$ corresponding to derivatives of polynomial Lagrange interpolation from the function values at $n > d$ distinct grid points $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. If the grid points are regularly spaced then the $\chi$-dependence of the finite-difference operators $D_d$ is known explicitly and tabulated (Abramowitz & Stegun 1965, equations 25.2.7, 25.3.4-6, tables 25.1, 25.2). In applications the grid spacing might not be uniform (e.g. grid points to include sites where data is available or is sought). For non-uniform grids Fornberg (1988, 1998) and Corless & Rokicki (1996) give neat computer algorithms that construct $D_d$ for $0 \leq d < n$. In §3 of the present paper an explicit formula for $D_d$ is derived in terms of elementary symmetric functions. Appendix A evaluates $D_d$ in terms of the displacements $\alpha_i = x_i - \chi$ for the cases $0 \leq d < n$, $n = 1, \ldots, 5$.

In a term-by-term finite-difference model of a differential equation, the size of the errors is related to the worst of the errors that arise in replacing $\partial^d / \partial x^d$ by $D_d$. For a computational scheme constructed in terms of $D_d$, it may be possible to make slight adjustments to the coefficients multiplying each of the $D_d$, so that there is extra cancellation of the errors. Crandall (1955) performed such error cancellation with $n = 3$ and a uniform grid for the diffusion equation at two levels in time. Mitchell & Griffiths (1980, chapter 2, table 1) demonstrate the leap in accuracy over the Crank-Nicolson (1947) scheme. Smith (2000) extended the Crandall (1955) scheme to include grid non-uniformity via neat Taylor series for the $n = 3$ errors in $D_0$, $D_1$, $D_2$. The motivation for the present paper is to derive error Taylor series for all $n$. Appendix B states the first four error terms for $0 \leq d < n$, $n = 1, \ldots, 5$.
Computer algebra packages (e.g. Maple or Mathematica) make it straightforward to confirm the validity for \( n = 1, \ldots, 5 \) of the neat error expressions.

The next section introduces elementary symmetric functions and states the main results, from which operators and errors can be constructed for any number of grid points. The subsequent four sections detail a direct derivation of the main results, involving generalised Vandermonde determinants and Schur functions (De Marchi 2001). Functions introduced by Schur in his 1901 thesis on groups of matrices are today called \( S \) or Schur functions (MacDonald 1995).

## 2. Elementary symmetric functions and main results

In this paper, \( \alpha \) denotes the ordered set of displacements \( \alpha_i = x_i - \chi \). For the set \( \alpha \), the elementary symmetric functions \( e^\alpha_i \) are defined as the sum of all distinct permutations of order \( i \) over the set. An equivalent algebraic definition (Baker 1994, MacDonald 1995) is that for arbitrary \( z \)

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} e^\alpha_i z^i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \alpha_i z) . \tag{2.1}
\]

For indices \( i < 0 \) or \( i > n \), it is implicit that \( e^\alpha_i = 0 \). The zero order elementary symmetric function is \( e^\alpha_0 = 1 \). To minimise confusion with powers, the superscript indicating the set will usually be omitted. For example, with \( n = 3 \)

\[
e_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 , \quad e_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 , \quad e_3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 . \tag{2.2}
\]

The derivatives with respect to varied reference point are

\[
\frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial \chi} = -1 , \quad \frac{\partial e_j}{\partial \chi} = -(n+1-j)e_{j-1} , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \left\{ e_j|_{\alpha_i=0} \right\} = -(n-j)e_{j-1}|_{\alpha_i=0} . \tag{2.3}
\]

If the chosen reference point \( \chi \) is the centroid, then there is the simplification

\[
e_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n\chi = 0 . \tag{2.4}
\]

With \( e_1 = 0 \), equations (B6a-c) correspond to equations (3.3a-c) of Smith (2000).

If the reference point \( \chi \) coincides with any of the grid points, the simplification is

\[
e_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \chi) = 0 . \tag{2.5}
\]

On uniformly spaced grids with \( \chi \) chosen to be the centroid, \( e_i = 0 \) for all odd \( i \).

In §3, the \( n \)-point finite-difference operator \( D_d \) operating on a function \( f(x) \), is shown to be the weighted sum of the function values at the grid points

\[
D_d[f] = d! (1)^n-d-1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_{n-d-1}|_{\alpha_i=0}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \neq i \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} f(x_i) . \tag{2.6}
\]

Extensive numerical tests confirm the agreement of this explicit formula (2.6) with results from the computational algorithms of Fornberg (1988, 1998) and of Corless
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\[ D_d^{+1}[f] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} D_d[f] \].

(2.7)

In appendix A, the sign changes and the increasing factorial numerators between successive \( D_0, \ldots, D_{n-1} \) can be explained from equations (2.3, 2.7).

If the function \( f(x) \) is not a polynomial in \( x \) of degree \( \leq n - 1 \), then an error will arise at degree \( n \) or beyond. For uniform spacing, series for differences in terms of derivatives are well known (Abramowitz & Stegun 1965, equations 25.3.16-20).

In §4 it is shown that the error terms from the weighted sum of Taylor series about the reference point \( \chi \), can be written as a series involving Schur functions in the displacements

\[ D_d^{+1}[f] - \frac{\partial^{d+1} f}{\partial x^{d+1}} \bigg|_{x=\chi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \left( D_d[f] - \frac{\partial^d f}{\partial x^d} \bigg|_{x=\chi} \right) \].

(2.11)

In appendix B, the sign changes and decreasing factorial denominators for the lowest-order error terms \( f^{(n)}(\chi) \) in \( D_d \) can be linked to equations (2.3, 2.11).

3. Derivation of difference operators

Let the operator \( D_d[f] \) be the weighted sum of discrete values of a function \( f(x) \) over \( n \) distinct points so that

\[ D_d[f] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(x_i) \].

(3.1)
Taking the Taylor series of $f(x_i)$ about the position $\chi$ and writing $\alpha_i = x_i - \chi$

$$D_d[f] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i^j}{j!} \left. \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \right|_{x=\chi} \right).$$

To avoid convergence considerations, the circle of convergence about $\chi$ is assumed to include all the $x_i$. Let $D_{d,m}[f]$ represent the truncated form of $D_d[f]$ with the $j$-summation terminated at degree $m - 1$. For finite-term truncations, the order of $i$ and $j$ summations can be exchanged

$$D_{d,m}[f] = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \frac{\alpha_i^j}{j!} \left. \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \right|_{x=\chi} \right).$$

There are $n$ weights $w_i$ to be selected. The truncated operator $D_{d,n}[f]$ can be forced to represent the $d$'th derivative operator for $d < n$

$$D_{d,n}[f] = \left. \frac{\partial^d f}{\partial x^d} \right|_{x=\chi}.$$

With the standard notation for the Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

then the unit column vector $(\delta_{0d}, \delta_{1d}, \ldots, \delta_{(n-1)d})^T$ represents the derivative to be approximated. The system to be solved can thus be written in matrix form as

$$(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\ \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2} & \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2} & \cdots & \frac{\alpha_n^2}{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\alpha_1^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} & \frac{\alpha_2^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} & \cdots & \frac{\alpha_n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \end{array}) \left( \begin{array}{c} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta_{0d} \\ \delta_{1d} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{(n-1)d} \end{array} \right).$$

Cramer’s rule states that any system $Aw = b$ with non-zero $\det(A)$ has general solution for each component $w_y$ of $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$

$$w_y = \frac{-\det \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & b \\ p(y) & 0 \end{array} \right)}{\det(A)},$$

where the unit row vector $p(y) = (\delta_{1y}, \delta_{2y}, \ldots, \delta_{ny})$ picks out the component $w_y$ of the solution.
In this form, the system (3.6), upon factoring out and cancelling factorials, has solution for each component

\[
- \det \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \delta_{0d} \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n & \delta_{1d} \\
\alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_n^2 & 2\delta_{2d} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1} & (n-1)!\delta_{(n-1)d}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
w_y = \frac{-\det \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \delta_{0d} \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n & \delta_{1d} \\
\alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_n^2 & 2\delta_{2d} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1} & (n-1)!\delta_{(n-1)d}
\end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\
\alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_n^2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}}
\] (3.8)

The denominator in (3.8) is a Vandermonde determinant \(\text{VDM}(\alpha)\), hereafter denoted by \(\text{VDM}(\alpha)\), in terms of the ordered set \(\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\). It has value

\[
\text{VDM}(\alpha) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j).
\] (3.9)

The matrix in the numerator has zero last column except for the value \(d!\) at the position \((d + 1, n + 1)\) and it has zero last row except for 1 at the position \((n + 1, y)\). As temporary notation within this section, let

\[
\beta(y) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \setminus \{\alpha_y\},
\] (3.10a)

a length \(n - 1\) ordered set of displacements that excludes \(\alpha_y\), and let

\[
\gamma = (0, \ldots, n - 1) \setminus \{d\},
\] (3.10b)

a length \(n - 1\) ordered set of integers excluding \(d\) at position \(d + 1\) that arise as powers of the displacements. By expansion down the last column then the last row, the numerator in (3.8) can be written

\[
d! (-1)^{n - d - 1} \det (\beta(y)^\gamma), \quad 1 \leq s, t \leq n - 1.
\] (3.11)

The denominator can be evaluated in a way that involves \(\text{VDM}(\beta(y))\)

\[
\text{VDM}(\alpha) = \prod_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq y} (\alpha_y - \alpha_j) \prod_{y < k \leq n} (\alpha_k - \alpha_y)
\]

\[
= \text{VDM}(\beta(y)) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq y} (\alpha_y - \alpha_j) \prod_{y < k \leq n} (\alpha_j - \alpha_y)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{n - y} \text{VDM}(\beta(y)) \prod_{1 \leq j \neq y \leq n} (\alpha_y - \alpha_j).
\] (3.12)

This is non-zero because the grid points \(x_j\), and therefore the displacements \(\alpha_j\), are distinct. Then the quotient (3.8) takes the form

\[
w_y = \frac{d! (-1)^{n - d - 1} S_\lambda(\beta(y))}{\prod_{1 \leq j \neq y \leq n} (\alpha_y - \alpha_j)},
\] (3.13)
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where $S_\lambda(\beta)$ is a Schur function over $\beta(y)$ with partition $\lambda$ (Baker 1994, MacDonald 1995)

$$S_\lambda(\beta(y)) = \frac{\det \left( \beta(y)_{i,j}^\gamma \right)}{\text{VDM}(\beta(y))}. \quad (3.14)$$

Partitions can be calculated by taking the difference in the powers of the numerator and the denominator in (3.14), in reverse order (Baker 1994, MacDonald 1995). The powers in the numerator are $\gamma = (0, \ldots, n-1)$ and those in the denominator are $(0, \ldots, n-2)$ so that the partition $\lambda$ is given by

$$\lambda = (n-1, \ldots, 0) \setminus (d) - (n-2, \ldots, 0) = (1^{n-d-1}). \quad (3.15)$$

For convenience the notation $a^b$ represents $b$ occurrences of $a$ eg. $(1^4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Trailing zeros in partitions are dropped as they are equivalent to multiplication of the Schur function by $e_0 = 1$. The conjugate of $\lambda$ is obtained by transposing the diagram of $\lambda$ to give $\lambda' = (n-d-1)$ (Baker 1994, MacDonald 1995).

The Jacobi-Trudi identity for elementary symmetric functions states (MacDonald 1995) that for an arbitrary partition $\lambda$ of length $\ell$

$$S_\lambda = \det \left( e_{\lambda^t - s + t} \right), \quad 1 \leq s, t \leq \ell. \quad (3.16)$$

In this particular case with $\lambda' = (n-d-1)$ the Schur function has the simple form

$$S_\lambda(\beta) = e_{\beta}^{n-d-1}. \quad (3.17)$$

This gives the explicit form of (3.13) as

$$w_y = \frac{d! \left( -1 \right)^{n-d-1} e_0^{\beta(y)}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \neq y \leq n} (\alpha_y - \alpha_j)}. \quad (3.18)$$

The weighted sum (3.1) over all $n$ of the points gives the difference operator that approximates the $d$th derivative

$$D_d[f] = d! \left( -1 \right)^{n-d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_0^{\beta(i)}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \neq i \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)} f(x_i). \quad (3.19)$$

Also,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} e_k^{\beta(i)} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k^{\beta(i)} z^k + e_n^{\beta(i)} z^n = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} (1 + \beta(i) z_k) = \prod_{1 \leq k \neq i \leq n} (1 + \alpha_k z) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} e_k^{\alpha_k} z^k \right) \bigg|_{\alpha_i = 0} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} e_k^{\alpha_i} |_{\alpha_i = 0} z^k \quad (3.20)$$

where the definition (2.1) of elementary symmetric functions and the result $e_0^{\beta(i)} = 0$ has been used i.e. $\beta(i)$ is only of length $n-1$. Equating powers of $z$ gives

$$e_k^{\beta(i)} \equiv e_k^{\alpha_i} |_{\alpha_i = 0}. \quad (3.21)$$
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The temporary notation \( \beta \) can be replaced in (3.19), to give the result

\[
D_d[f] = d! (-1)^{n-d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha} \prod_{1 \leq j \neq i \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}{\alpha_i} f(x_i).
\]  

(3.22)

The displacement differences \( \alpha_i - \alpha_j \) can also be written as grid differences \( x_i - x_j \). Thus, the denominators do not depend on \( \chi \).

\( D_0[f](\chi) \) is a polynomial of degree \( n-1 \) in \( \chi \) and can be recognised as \( n \)-point Lagrange interpolation of \( f(\chi) \) (Abramowitz & Stegun 1965, 25.2.2). If a general function \( f(\chi) \) is replaced by \( D_0[f](\chi) \) then the grid-point values \( f(x_i) \) and operators \( D_d[f](\chi) \) are unchanged. That restriction to polynomials of degree \( n-1 \), permits \( D_{d,n} \) to be replaced by \( D_d \) in the derivative matching (3.4). The freedom to vary \( \chi \) implies that \( D_d[f](\chi) \) is the \( d \)'th derivative with respect to \( \chi \) of \( D_0[f](\chi) \). Fornberg (1988) made that linkage the premise for an algorithm, rather than a consequence.

4. Derivation of error terms

At degree \( n \) and beyond, errors will arise. It is useful to be able to calculate the higher-order errors, for example to extend high order numerical schemes to non-uniform grids (Smith 2000). The general difference operator can be written

\[
D_d[f] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( w_i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x_i - \chi)^j}{j!} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \bigg|_{x=\chi} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( w_i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i^j}{j!} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \bigg|_{x=\chi} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E(j) \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \bigg|_{x=\chi}.
\]

(4.1)

where

\[
E(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \alpha_i^j.
\]

(4.2)

The derivation in §3 for the approximate derivatives ensures that with \( 0 \leq j < n \)

\[
E(j) = j! \delta_{jd}.
\]

(4.3)

For \( j \geq n \), the expression (3.8) for the weights \( w_i \) has the consequence

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \delta_{0d} \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n & \delta_{1d} \\
\alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_n^2 & 2\delta_{2d} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1} & (n-1)!\delta_{(n-1)d} \\
\alpha_1^j & \alpha_2^j & \cdots & \alpha_n^j & 0
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
E(j) = \frac{(-\det)}{\begin{vmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\
\alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_n^2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1}
\end{vmatrix}}.
\]

(4.4)
The denominator is $VDM(\alpha)$. From (3.5) and by expansion down the last column, the numerator is

$$d! (-1)^{n-d-1} \det \left( \alpha_i^{\Gamma_s} \right), \ 1 \leq s, t \leq n$$

(4.5)

where $\Gamma = (0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, j) \setminus (d)$ (similar to $\gamma$ but including $j$ at position $n$).

Then

$$E(j) = \frac{d! (-1)^{n-d-1} \det \left( \alpha_i^{\Gamma_s} \right)}{VDM(\alpha)} = d! (-1)^{n-d-1} S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)}(\alpha)$$

(4.6)

where the partition is

$$\Lambda(j;d,n) = (j, n-1, \ldots, 0) \setminus (d) - (n-1, \ldots, 0) = (j - n + 1, 1^{n-d-1}).$$

(4.7)

The conjugate partition $\Lambda'(j;d,n) = (n-d, 1^{j-n})$ is of length $j - n + 1$. Inserting the above expression into (4.1) gives the explicit form for the general difference operator in terms of Schur functions as

$$D_d[f] = d! (-1)^{n-d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)}(\alpha)}{j!} \left. \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \right|_{x=\chi} \right).$$

(4.8)

With the initial $S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)}$ for $0 \leq j < n$ defined as

$$S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-d-1}, & j = d, \\ 0, & j \neq d. \end{cases}$$

(4.9)

then (4.8) can also be written as

$$D_d[f] - \left. \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \right|_{x=\chi} = d! (-1)^{n-d-1} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \left( \frac{S_{\Lambda'(j;d,n)}(\alpha)}{j!} \left. \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j} \right|_{x=\chi} \right).$$

(4.10)

5. Preliminary results

Before the recurrence relation (2.9) is derived some preliminary results are first obtained. As used earlier, the Jacobi-Trudi identity for the conjugate partition gives the Schur functions in terms of elementary symmetric functions

$$S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)}(\alpha) = \det \left( e_{\Lambda'_s - s+t} \right), \ 1 \leq s, t \leq j - n + 1.$$  

(5.1)

where $\Lambda'_s$ denotes element $s$ of the conjugate partition $\Lambda'(j;d,n) = (n-d, 1^{j-n})$. The square matrix, of size $j - n + 1$, which gives the subscripts for the elementary symmetric functions in (5.1) is

$$\left[ \Lambda'_s - s+t \right]_{s,t} = \begin{pmatrix} n-d & n-d+1 & \cdots & j-d \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & j-n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1-j+n & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ 1 \leq s, t \leq j - n + 1.$$  

(5.2)
By the definition (2.1), $e_i = 0$ when $i > n$ so the highest subscript that yields a non-zero elementary symmetric function is given when the subscript $i = n$. The first element $n - d$ of the conjugate partition gives the subscripts $n - d - s + t$ on the first row. So, with $s = 1$, the last non-zero elementary symmetric function $e_n$ arises when $n - d - 1 + t = n$ i.e. $t = d + 1$. Since $j - n + 1 > t$ then the first row consists of the elements $e_{n-d}, \ldots, e_n$ padded with zeros for $j > n + d$ otherwise it consists of the elements $e_{n-d}, \ldots, e_{j-d}$. Accordingly, the Schur function $S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)}(\alpha)$ is considered over two intervals

$$S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
\det \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-d} & \cdots & e_{j-d} \\
M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n)} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 
\end{pmatrix}, & n \leq j \leq n + d, \\
\det \begin{pmatrix} e_{n-d} & \cdots & e_n \\
M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n)} & \cdots & 0 \\
m_{j-n+1}^{(j-n)} & \cdots & 0 
\end{pmatrix}, & j \geq n + d. 
\end{cases}$$

(5.3)

For convenience the notation $M_i^{(x)}$ refers to the upper-triangular matrix $M_i$ (of size $i$) with row $x$ removed and the notation $M_i^{(x)}(y)$ refers to $M_i$ with row $x$ and column $y$ removed. The second row of (5.2), and hence the first row of $M_{j-n+1}$, has final element $e_n$ when $j - n = n$, so that $j = 2n$, giving

$$M_{j-n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e_1 & \cdots & e_n \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & e_1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 
\end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 2n. \tag{5.4a}$$

The values of this matrix are a direct consequence of (5.2). The matrix is upper-triangular since for $s > t + 1$ in (5.2), i.e. in the strictly lower triangular region of (5.4a), then the conjugate partition has elements $1 + s - t < 0$ and $e_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. For other values of $j \geq n$, (5.2) shows that the matrices $M_{j-n+1}$ may be defined iteratively in terms of the above case (5.4a). The last rows of (5.4a) and in the second case below (5.4b) are chosen for compatibility in this iterative definition and as a result they preserve the upper-triangular nature of $M_{j-n+1}$.

$$M_{j-n+1} = \begin{cases} \{M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n+2)}\}_{k,\ell}, & 1 \leq k, \ell \leq j - n + 1 < n + 1, \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\
M_{j-n} \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
e_n \\
\vdots \\
e_1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 
\end{pmatrix}, & j > 2n. \tag{5.4b} 
\end{cases}$$

In the first case the final column goes up from 1 to $e_{j-n}$. In the second case the zeros at the start of the final column are a consequence of $e_i = 0$ when $i > n$.

With the first row and column removed it is clear that for $i > 1$

$$\det(M_i^{(1)}(1)) = 1. \tag{5.5}$$
For brevity in the following derivations this result is also assumed for the case $i = 1$. From the iterative definition it is clear that

$$ M^{(i)}_i (i) = M_{i-1}, \ i \geq 2. \quad (5.6) $$

Since $M_i$ is upper triangular with unit diagonal elements then

$$ \det (M_i) = 1, \ i \geq 1. \quad (5.7) $$

For $1 \leq k, \ell \leq i$ and $i \geq 2$

$$ \det \left( M^{(k)}_i \right) = \det \left( M^{(k)}_{\max(k, \ell)} \right). \quad (5.8) $$

This result is due to the trailing 1’s on the leading diagonal of $M_i$. The determinant can be expanded up the leading diagonal until the first of either row $k$ or column $\ell$ is reached when the trailing 1’s end and the expansion of the determinant stops.

By expansion up the leading diagonal in (5.4a,b), when $1 \leq k, \ell \leq j - n$ and $j - n \geq 2$,

$$ \det \left( M^{(j-n-k+1)}_j \right) = \begin{cases} \det \left( M^{(j-n-k+1)}_{\ell} \right), & j - n - k + 1 < \ell, \\ \det \left( M^{(j-n-k+1)}_{j-1} \right), & j - n - k + 1 \geq \ell. \end{cases} \quad (5.9) $$

In the first case the matrix can be reduced to size $\max(j - n - k + 1, \ell) = \ell$ by (5.8). Since the row removed $j - n - k + 1$ is less than the column removed $\ell$, it can be seen by considering (5.4) that the last row is all zero, giving the zero determinant. In the second case, when the row removed $j - n - k + 1$ is greater than or equal to the column removed $\ell$, then the matrix can be reduced to size $\max(j - n - k + 1, \ell) = j - n - k + 1$ by (5.8).

Expanding the determinant along the first row in (5.3) gives

$$ S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{j-n+\ell} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M^{(j-n+1)}_{j-n+1} \right), & n \leq j \leq n + d, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{j-n+\ell} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M^{(j-n+1)}_{j-n+1} \right), & j \geq n + d. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.10) $$

By expansion of the determinant up the final column in (5.4a,b), when $\ell \leq j - n$ (ie. not removing the final column),

$$ \det \left( M^{(j-n+1)}_{j-n+1} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{j-n+1} e_k \det \left( M^{(j-n-k+1)}_{j-n} \right), & n < j \leq 2n, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-n+1} e_k \det \left( M^{(j-n-k+1)}_{j-n} \right), & j \geq 2n. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.11) $$

Strictly speaking, with $j = n + 1$, $\det \left( M^{(j-n+1)}_{j-n+1} \right) = e_1$ so for compatibility with the first case above it is assumed that $\det \left( M^{(1)}_{1} \right) = 1.$
6. Construction of the recurrence relation

The results of the previous section form the building blocks used in deriving the recurrence relation. In accordance with the intervals over which these results are valid, \( S_{\lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) \) is considered for (a) low-order error terms \( n \leq j \leq n + d \), (b) moderate order error terms \( n + d < j \leq 2n \) and (c) high-order error terms \( j \geq 2n \).

The initial values of \( S_{\lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) \) are defined on the interval \( 0 \leq j \leq n \) as in (4.9)

\[
S_{\lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{n-d-1}, & j = d, \\
0, & j \neq d. 
\end{cases} 
\]  

(6.1)

It is left to show that with these initial values the Schur functions \( S_{\lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) \) can be calculated for all \( j \geq n \) through the recurrence relation

\[
S_{\lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\lambda(j-k,d,n)}(\alpha). 
\]  

(6.2)

(a) Low-order error terms: \( n \leq j \leq n + d \)

For the interval \( n \leq j \leq n + d \), the first case in (5.10) gives

\[
S_{\lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n+1)}(\ell) \right) 
\]

\[
= \sigma_1(j) + \sigma_2(j) + \sigma_3(j) 
\]  

(6.3)

where the summation is split up as

\[
\sigma_1(j) + \sigma_2(j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n+1)}(\ell) \right), 
\]

\[
\sigma_3(j) = (-1)^j e_{j-d}. 
\]  

(6.4)

The last case is with \( \ell = j - n + 1 \) and (5.6) and (5.7) have been used to simplify the determinant. When \( j = n \) it is clear from the summation in (6.3) that \( \sigma_1(j) + \sigma_2(j) = 0 \) since these terms do not arise. For the remaining \( j > n \), the first case of (5.11) is used to give

\[
\sigma_1(j) + \sigma_2(j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)}(\ell) \right) \right). 
\]  

(6.5)

The order of summation is exchanged to give

\[
\sigma_1(j) + \sigma_2(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)}(\ell) \right) \right). 
\]  

(6.6)

The inner summation of the sum \( \sigma_1(n) + \sigma_2(j) \) is split such that

\[
\sigma_1(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n-k+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)}(\ell) \right) \right). 
\]  

(6.7)
When \( j = n + 1 \) then \( \sigma_2 (j) = 0 \) as \( k \) only takes the value one in the outer summation hence \( \ell \) takes all the values in the inner summation. For \( j > n + 1 \) the remaining part of the split is given by

\[
\sigma_2 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=j-n-k+2}^{j-n} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right).
\]

(6.8)

Using the second case of (5.9), since from the inner summation \( j - n - k + 1 \geq \ell \),

\[
\sigma_1 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n-k+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right).
\]

(6.9)

The outer summation implies that \( n \leq j - k \). Since \( k \geq 1 \) and \( j \leq n + d \), for this interval, then \( j - k \leq n + d - 1 \). Together, these inequalities imply that \( n \leq j - k \leq n + d \) so the first case of (5.10) may be inserted with \( j \) replaced by \( j - k \) to give

\[
\sigma_1 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} (\alpha).
\]

(6.10)

Using the first case of (5.9), since from the inner summation \( j - n - k + 1 < \ell \),

\[
\sigma_2 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=j-n-k+2}^{j-n} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right) = 0.
\]

(6.11)

The initial conditions (6.1) are used to rewrite \( \sigma_3 (j) \) as

\[
\sigma_3 (j) = (-1)^{j-n} e_{j-d} = \sum_{k=j-n+1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} (\alpha).
\]

(6.12)

As \( j \geq n \), for this interval, and from the upper limit \( n \geq k \) then \( j - k \geq 0 \). Combining this with the lower limit gives \( 0 \leq j - k < n \). From the initial conditions 6.1, the only non-zero initial value for \( S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} \) arises when \( j - k = d \) so that

\[
(-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} (\alpha) = (-1)^{j-n} e_{j-d} \text{ as required.}
\]

Finally, from (6.3), the recurrence relation over the interval \( n \leq j \leq n + d \) is

\[
S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)} (\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} + \sum_{k=j-n+1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} (\alpha)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k:d,n)} (\alpha).
\]

(6.13)

(b) Moderate order error terms: \( n + d < j \leq 2n \)

The proofs over the remaining intervals are much the same with differing summation indices. For the interval \( n + d < j \leq 2n \), the second case in (5.10) and the
first case in (5.11) give
\[ S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)} (\alpha) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n+1)} (\ell) \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \det \left( M_{j-n}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right). \]

(6.14)

On exchanging the order of summation
\[ S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)} (\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right) \]
\[ = \sigma_1 (j) + \sigma_2 (j) + \sigma_3 (j). \]

(6.15)

where the notation \( \sigma_1 (j), \sigma_2 (j) \) and \( \sigma_3 (j) \) is reused to again denote a split in the summation. The first part of the split is
\[ \sigma_1 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right). \]

(6.16)

When \( j-n-d = j-n \) i.e. \( d = 0 \) then the split doesn’t arise hence then \( \sigma_2 (j) + \sigma_3 (j) = 0 \). The remaining terms for \( d > 0 \) are split in the inner summation to give
\[ \sigma_2 (j) = \sum_{k=j-n-d+1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right) \]

(6.17)

and
\[ \sigma_3 (j) = \sum_{k=j-n-d+1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=j-n-k+2}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right). \]

(6.18)

The last case of (5.10) with \( j \) replaced by \( j-k \) gives
\[ \sigma_1 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k,d,n)} \]

(6.19)

since from the outer summation \( j-k \geq n+d \). The second case of (5.9) is used on the inner summation since the limits give \( j-n-k+1 \geq \ell \) so that
\[ \sigma_2 (j) = \sum_{k=j-n-d+1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-n-k+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right). \]

(6.20)
Then
\[ \sigma_2(j) = \sum_{k=j-n-d+1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k; d, n)} \]  (6.21)

where the first case of (5.10) has been used with \( j \) replaced by \( j-k \), since from the outer summation \( k \leq j-n \) and \( k \geq j-n-d+1 \) so that \( n \leq j-k \leq n+d-1 \).

The remaining part of the summation for \( d \geq 1 \) is
\[ \sigma_3(j) = \sum_{k=j-n-d+1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=j-n-k+2}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)}(\ell) \right) \right) = 0, \]  (6.22)

by the first case in (5.9) as, from the inner summation, \( \ell \geq j-n-k+2 \) so that \( j-n-k+1 < \ell \). When \( j < 2n \) then the initial conditions (6.1) give
\[ \sum_{k=j-n+1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k; d, n)}(\alpha) = 0. \]  (6.23)

This result is since \( j > n+d \) for this interval and from the outer summation \( n \geq k \), giving \( j-k > d \) and so \( S_{\Lambda(j-k; d, n)} = 0 \). Then
\[ S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k; d, n)}(\alpha) + \sum_{k=j-n-d+1}^{j-n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k; d, n)} . \]  (6.24)

With (6.23) used as required to extend the upper limit of the summation, the recurrence relation for \( n+d < j \leq 2n \) is
\[ S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k; d, n)}(\alpha) . \]  (6.25)

\[ (c) \text{ High order error terms: } j \geq 2n \]

For the interval \( j \geq 2n \), the second cases in (5.10) and (5.11) give
\[ S_{\Lambda(j,d,n)}(\alpha) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n+1}^{(j-n-k+1)}(\ell) \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)}(\ell) \right) \right) . \]  (6.26)
Exchanging the order of summation and splitting the summations into three parts, with further reuse of the \( \sigma_1 (j) \), \( \sigma_2 (j) \) and \( \sigma_3 (j) \) notation, gives

\[
S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)} (\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \sigma_1 (j) + \sigma_2 (j) + \sigma_3 (j) .
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.27)

The first part of the split summation is

\[
\sigma_1 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k;d,n)} (\alpha) ,
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.28)

where the second case of (5.9) has been used since from the summation limits \( j - n - k + 1 \geq d + 1 \geq \ell \) and the second case of (5.10) has been used since from the outer summation \( j - k \geq n + d \). For \( j \geq 2n + d \), \( \sigma_2 (j) + \sigma_3 (j) = 0 \) since \( \sigma_2 (j) \) and \( \sigma_3 (j) \) don’t arise in this case. For \( j < 2n + d \)

\[
\sigma_2 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right)
\]

where the second case of (5.9) has been used since from the inner summation \( j - n - k + 1 \geq \ell \). Then

\[
\sigma_2 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k;d,n)} (\alpha)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.30)

where the first case of (5.10) has been used since in this interval \( j \geq 2n \) and from the upper limit \( n \geq k \) so that \( n \leq j - k \) and, from the lower limit, \( j - k \leq n + d - 1 \) which combined give \( n \leq j - k \leq n + d - 1 \). The last split of the summation is

\[
\sigma_3 (j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-n-d} (-1)^{k+1} e_k \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} e_{n-d+\ell-1} \det \left( M_{j-n-k+1}^{(j-n-k+1)} (\ell) \right) \right) = 0 ,
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.31)

where the first case of (5.9) has been used since from the inner summation \( j - n - k + 1 < \ell \). Finally, the recurrence relation for \( j \geq 2n \) is

\[
S_{\Lambda(j;d,n)} (\alpha) = \sigma_1 (j) + \sigma_2 (j)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} e_k S_{\Lambda(j-k;d,n)} (\alpha)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.32)

completing the proof of the recurrence relation (6.2) with initial conditions (6.1).
7. Concluding remarks

Explicit one dimensional difference operators $D_d$ have been derived that mimic derivative operators $\partial^d/\partial x^d$ at a reference point $\chi$ for any number $n$ of distinct points $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over an irregular grid and for any derivative $d < n$. Along with these, a recurrence relation has been derived that allows calculation of Taylor series for the errors. The $n + j$'th derivative error terms are polynomials of order $j + 1$ in the elementary symmetric functions for the displacements $x_1 - \chi, \ldots, x_n - \chi$.

The Taylor series for the errors makes it elementary to obtain the error from a linear sum of $D_d$ terms e.g. when selecting coefficients in a finite-difference scheme to mimic a differential equation. At all accuracy levels, the error coefficients involve polynomials in the $n$ non-constant elementary symmetric functions $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ for the set of displacements. The difference operators $D_d$ together with the elementary symmetric functions are a natural combination of tools with which to extend high order numerical schemes from uniform to non-uniform grids.

MKB would like to thank the Natural Environment Research Council for Ph.D. funding.

Appendix A. Finite difference formulas for derivatives

Formulas are listed for $n$-point finite-difference operators $D_d$ that mimic the $d$'th derivative at a position $\chi$, expressed in terms of the displacements $\alpha_i = x_i - \chi$. In the denominators, displacement differences $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$ can also be written as grid differences $x_i - x_j$.

For $n = 1$.

\[
D_0[f] = f(x_1) .
\]

For $n = 2$.

\[
D_0[f] = -\frac{\alpha_2 f(x_1)}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} - \frac{\alpha_1 f(x_2)}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}, \quad \text{(A 2a)}
\]

\[
D_1[f] = \frac{f(x_1)}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + \frac{f(x_2)}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} . \quad \text{(A 2b)}
\]

For $n = 3$.

\[
D_0[f] = \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 f(x_1)}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)} + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 f(x_2)}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 f(x_3)}{(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)} . \quad \text{(A 3a)}
\]

\[
D_1[f] = -\frac{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) f(x_1)}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)} - \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) f(x_2)}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} - \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) f(x_3)}{(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)} . \quad \text{(A 3b)}
\]

\[
D_2[f] = \frac{2f(x_1)}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)} + \frac{2f(x_2)}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} + \frac{2f(x_3)}{(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)} . \quad \text{(A 3c)}
\]

For $n = 4$.

\[
D_0[f] = -\frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 f(x_1)}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)} - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 f(x_2)}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4)} - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 f(x_3)}{(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4)} - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 f(x_4)}{(\alpha_4 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_4 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_4 - \alpha_3)} . \quad \text{(A 4a)}
\]

\[
D_1[f] = \frac{(\alpha_2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4) f(x_1)}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)} + \frac{(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 + \alpha_3 \alpha_4) f(x_2)}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4)} + \frac{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4) f(x_3)}{(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4)} + \frac{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4) f(x_4)}{(\alpha_4 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_4 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_4 - \alpha_3)} . \quad \text{(A 4b)}
\]
Derivative formulas and errors

\[ D_2[f] = \frac{2(a_2 + a_3 + a_4) f(x_1)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)} - \frac{2(a_1 + a_3 + a_4) f(x_2)}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)} \]
\[ - \frac{2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) f(x_3)}{(a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_4)} - \frac{2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) f(x_4)}{(a_4 - a_1)(a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_3)} , \quad (A 4c) \]

\[ D_3[f] = \frac{6f(x_1)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)} + \frac{6f(x_2)}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)} + \frac{6f(x_3)}{(a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_4)} + \frac{6f(x_4)}{(a_4 - a_1)(a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_3)} . \quad (A 4d) \]

\[ n = 5. \]

\[ D_0[f] = \frac{a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 f(x_1)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)(a_1 - a_5)} - \frac{a_1 a_3 a_4 a_5 f(x_2)}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)(a_2 - a_5)} - \frac{a_1 a_2 a_4 a_5 f(x_3)}{(a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_4)(a_3 - a_5)} + \frac{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 f(x_5)}{(a_5 - a_1)(a_5 - a_2)(a_5 - a_3)(a_5 - a_4)} , \quad (A 5a) \]

\[ D_1[f] = - \frac{(a_2 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3 a_5 + a_2 a_4 a_5 + a_3 a_4 a_5) f(x_1)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)(a_1 - a_5)} - \frac{(a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_1 a_3 a_5 + a_1 a_4 a_5 + a_3 a_4 a_5) f(x_2)}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)(a_2 - a_5)} - \frac{(a_1 a_2 a_4 + a_1 a_2 a_5 + a_1 a_4 a_5 + a_2 a_4 a_5) f(x_3)}{(a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_4)(a_3 - a_5)} - \frac{(a_1 a_2 a_3 + a_1 a_2 a_5 + a_1 a_3 a_5 + a_2 a_3 a_5) f(x_4)}{(a_4 - a_1)(a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_3)(a_4 - a_5)} - \frac{(a_1 a_2 a_3 + a_1 a_2 a_4 + a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3 a_4) f(x_5)}{(a_5 - a_1)(a_5 - a_2)(a_5 - a_3)(a_5 - a_4)} , \quad (A 5b) \]

\[ D_2[f] = \frac{2(a_2 a_3 + a_2 a_4 + a_3 a_4 + a_3 a_5 + a_4 a_5) f(x_1)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)(a_1 - a_5)} + \frac{2(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_4 + a_1 a_5 + a_2 a_4 + a_2 a_5 + a_4 a_5) f(x_2)}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)(a_2 - a_5)} + \frac{2(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3 + a_2 a_5 + a_3 a_5) f(x_3)}{(a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_4)(a_3 - a_5)} + \frac{2(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_1 a_5 + a_2 a_3 + a_2 a_5 + a_3 a_5) f(x_4)}{(a_4 - a_1)(a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_3)(a_4 - a_5)} + \frac{2(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_1 a_4 + a_2 a_3 + a_2 a_4 + a_3 a_4) f(x_5)}{(a_5 - a_1)(a_5 - a_2)(a_5 - a_3)(a_5 - a_4)} , \quad (A 5c) \]
\[ D_3[f] = -\frac{6(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f(x_1)}{(1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_4) (1 - \alpha_5)} - \frac{6(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f(x_2)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_4) (1 - \alpha_5)} - \frac{6(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f(x_3)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_4) (1 - \alpha_5)} - \frac{6(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) f(x_4)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_5)} - \frac{6(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) f(x_5)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_4)}. \]  
(A 5d)

\[ D_4[f] = \frac{24 f(x_1)}{(1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_4) (1 - \alpha_5)} + \frac{24 f(x_2)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_4) (1 - \alpha_5)} + \frac{24 f(x_3)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_5)} + \frac{24 f(x_4)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_4)} + \frac{24 f(x_5)}{(1 - \alpha_1) (1 - \alpha_2) (1 - \alpha_3) (1 - \alpha_4)}. \]  
(A 5e)

\section*{Appendix B. Finite difference errors}

Formulas are listed for the \( n \)-point elementary symmetric functions \( e_i \) in terms of the displacements \( \alpha_i = x_i - \chi \), and for the first four error terms in the finite-difference operators \( D_n[f] \) that mimic the \( d \)th derivative of a function \( f(x) \) at a reference position \( \chi \).

\( n = 1 \). Elementary symmetric functions:
\[ e_1 = \alpha_1. \]  
(B1)

Error terms (Taylor series):
\[ D_0[f] - f(\chi) = e_1 f'(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2}{2} f''(\chi) + \frac{e_1^3}{6} f^{(3)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^4}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) + \ldots. \]  
(B2)

\( n = 2 \). Elementary symmetric functions:
\[ e_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad e_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2. \]  
(B3)

Error terms:
\[ D_0[f] - f(\chi) = -\frac{e_2}{2} f''(\chi) - \frac{e_1 e_2}{6} f^{(3)}(\chi) - \frac{(e_1^2 - e_2)}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) - \frac{(e_1^2 - 2 e_2) e_1 e_2}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) - \ldots, \] \hspace{1cm} (B4a)

\[ D_1[f] - f'(\chi) = \frac{e_1}{2} f''(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2}{6} f^{(3)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^3}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^4}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) \] \hspace{1cm} + \frac{e_1^5 - 3 e_1^3 e_2 + e_2^2}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) + \ldots. \] \hspace{1cm} (B4b)

\( n = 3 \). Elementary symmetric functions:
\[ e_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad e_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3, \quad e_3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3. \]  
(B5)
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Error terms:

\[
D_0[f] - f(\chi) = \frac{e_3}{6} f^{(3)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1 e_3}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) + \frac{(e_1^2 - e_2)e_3}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) + \frac{(e_1^2 - 2e_1 e_2 + e_3)e_3}{f(6)(\chi) + \ldots}, \tag{B 6a}
\]

\[
D_1[f] - f'(\chi) = -\frac{e_2}{6} f^{(3)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1 e_2 - e_3}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1^2 e_2 - e_1 e_3 - e_2^2}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1^2 e_2 - 2e_1 e_2^2 + 2e_2 e_3}{f(6)(\chi) - \ldots}, \tag{B 6b}
\]

\[
D_2[f] - f''(\chi) = \frac{e_1}{3} f^{(3)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2 - e_2}{12} f^{(4)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2 - 2e_1 e_2 + e_3}{60} f^{(5)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2 - 3e_2 e_2 + 2e_1 e_3 + e_2^2}{360} f(6)(\chi) + \ldots. \tag{B 6c}
\]

\[n = 4. \text{Elementary symmetric functions:}\]

\[
e_1 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4, \tag{B 7a}
\]

\[
e_2 = a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_1 a_4 + a_2 a_3 + a_2 a_4 + a_3 a_4, \tag{B 7b}
\]

\[
e_3 = a_1 a_2 a_3 + a_1 a_2 a_4 + a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3 a_4, \tag{B 7c}
\]

\[
e_4 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4. \tag{B 7d}
\]

Error terms:

\[
D_0[f] - f(\chi) = -\frac{e_4}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1 e_4}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) + \frac{(e_1^2 - e_2)e_4}{720} f^{(6)}(\chi) + \frac{(e_1^3 - 2e_1 e_2 + e_3)e_4}{5040} f(7)(\chi) + \ldots, \tag{B 8a}
\]

\[
D_1[f] - f'(\chi) = \frac{e_3}{24} f^{(4)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1 e_3 - e_4}{120} f^{(5)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2 e_3 - e_1 e_4 - e_2 e_3}{720} f^{(6)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^3 e_3 - e_1^2 e_4 + 2e_1 e_4 + e_2^2}{5040} f^{(7)}(\chi) + \ldots, \tag{B 8b}
\]

\[
D_2[f] - f''(\chi) = -\frac{e_2}{12} f^{(4)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1 e_2 - e_3}{60} f^{(5)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1^2 e_2 - e_1 e_3 - e_2^2 + e_4}{360} f^{(6)}(\chi) - \frac{e_1^2 e_2 - 2e_1 e_2 e_3 + e_1 e_3 + 2e_2 e_4}{2520} f^{(7)}(\chi) + \ldots, \tag{B 8c}
\]

\[
D_3[f] - f^{(3)}(\chi) = \frac{e_1}{4} f^{(4)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2 - e_2}{20} f^{(5)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^2 - 2e_1 e_2 + e_3}{120} f^{(6)}(\chi) + \frac{e_1^4 - 3e_2 e_2 + 2e_1 e_3 + e_2^2 - e_4}{840} f^{(7)}(\chi) + \ldots. \tag{B 8d}
\]

\[n = 5. \text{Elementary symmetric functions:}\]

\[
e_1 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5, \tag{B 9a}
\]

\[
e_2 = a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_1 a_4 + a_1 a_5 + a_2 a_3 + a_2 a_4 + a_2 a_5 + a_3 a_4 + a_3 a_5, \tag{B 9b}
\]

\[
e_3 = a_1 a_2 a_3 + a_1 a_2 a_4 + a_1 a_2 a_5 + a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_1 a_3 a_5 + a_1 a_4 a_5 + a_2 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3 a_5 + a_2 a_4 a_5, \tag{B 9c}
\]

\[
e_4 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 + a_1 a_2 a_3 a_5 + a_1 a_2 a_4 a_5 + a_1 a_3 a_4 a_5 + a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5, \tag{B 9d}
\]

\[
e_5 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5. \tag{B 9e}
\]
Error terms:

\[
D_0[f] - f(x) = \frac{e_5}{120} f^{(5)}(x) + \frac{e_1 e_5}{720} f^{(6)}(x) + \frac{(e_1^2 - e_2) e_5}{5040} f^{(7)}(x) \\
+ \frac{(e_1^3 - 2 e_1 e_2 + e_3) e_5}{40320} f^{(8)}(x) + \ldots , \quad (B\, 10a)
\]

\[
D_1[f] - f'(x) = -\frac{e_4}{120} f^{(5)}(x) - \frac{e_1 e_4 - e_5}{720} f^{(6)}(x) - \frac{e_1^2 e_4 - e_1 e_5 - e_2 e_4}{5040} f^{(7)}(x) \\
- \frac{e_1^3 e_4 - e_1^2 e_5 - 2 e_1 e_2 e_4 + e_2 e_5 + e_3 e_4}{40320} f^{(8)}(x) + \ldots , \quad (B\, 10b)
\]

\[
D_2[f] - f''(x) = \frac{e_3}{60} f^{(5)}(x) + \frac{e_1 e_3}{360} f^{(6)}(x) + \frac{e_1^2 e_3 - e_1 e_4 - e_2 e_3 + e_5}{2520} f^{(7)}(x) \\
+ \frac{e_1^3 e_3 - e_1^2 e_4 - 2 e_1 e_2 e_3 + e_2 e_4 + e_3^2}{20160} f^{(8)}(x) + \ldots , \quad (B\, 10c)
\]

\[
D_3[f] - f'''(x) = -\frac{e_2}{20} f^{(5)}(x) - \frac{e_1 e_2 - e_3}{120} f^{(6)}(x) - \frac{e_1^2 e_2 - e_1 e_3 - e_2^2 + e_4}{840} f^{(7)}(x) \\
- \frac{e_1^3 e_2 - e_1^2 e_3 - 2 e_1 e_2^2 + e_2 e_3 + 2 e_3 e_4 - e_4}{6720} f^{(8)}(x) + \ldots , \quad (B\, 10d)
\]

\[
D_4[f] - f^{(4)}(x) = \frac{e_1}{5} f^{(5)}(x) + \frac{e_1^2 - e_2}{30} f^{(6)}(x) + \frac{e_1^3 - 2 e_1 e_2 + e_3}{210} f^{(7)}(x) \\
+ \frac{e_1^4 - 3 e_1^2 e_2 + 2 e_2 e_3 + e_2^2 - e_4}{1680} f^{(8)}(x) + \ldots . \quad (B\, 10e)
\]
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