

A Light Weight Ambient Air Cooling Unit for Use in Hazardous Environments

Yasu Tai Chen, Susan H. Bomalaski, and Stefan H. Constable
USAF School of Aerospace Medicine,
Brooks AFB, Texas

INTRODUCTION

Thermal stress to personnel as a result of working in a warm and contaminated environment is a critical problem in industry and the military. While performing under such stressful conditions an individual must wear a protective garment such as the military chemical defense ensemble (CDE) which features high thermal insulation and low moisture permeability. In the past years, various personal microclimate cooling systems have been developed and studied which remove stored heat, and therefore reduce body core and skin temperatures (1,2). Since man-mounted cooling units have the disadvantage of increased weight carriage and modest physiological cooling capacity, the concept of intermittent, microclimate cooling only during rest periods has been investigated (3). Use of either air or liquid, upper-body cooling during rest periods extended work times, lessened the associated physiological stress, and improved personal comfort when compared with uncooled control trials. However, even when cumulative heat storage was prevented, general physical fatigue was still more progressive than when no CDE was worn (4). In an attempt to further improve this concept, we conceived a strategy implementing continuous air cooling with the added wear of a filtered air blower. With this approach, ambient air ventilation is added during work while conditioned air is delivered during rest periods. This paper describes the development and testing of this continuous cooling approach.

METHODS

System Description: In the current study, a prototype ambient air cooling unit was designed and fabricated at the USAF School of Aerospace Medicine. This unit is composed of a DC vacuum blower, battery set, air plenum, control panel, 3 Army C-2 filters, and supporting frame. This compact "belt-pack" unit (~ 3.8 Kg) provides 340 liters per minute (L/m) filtered ambient air through a U.S. Army developed air vest (5): 280 L/m to the body and 60 L/m to the face. The unit may be used independently or in conjunction with a chilled air cooling system.

Human Testing: The seven subjects used for this series of tests were military volunteers. The physical task employed for all test batteries in this study consisted of walking at 4.8 km/h at 3-6 % grade, which elicited approximately 40% of each subject's $\dot{V}O_2$ max. Subjects performed either intermittent or continuous exercise in a thermally controlled chamber under warm conditions (32°C, 40% RH) until reaching limits of $T_{re} = 39.0^\circ\text{C}$, HR = 180 bpm, or volitional fatigue. For intermittent work, three experimental conditions were employed with each subject serving as his own control: 1) no personal cooling during work or rest, (no cooling, NC), 2) conditioned air cooling during rest periods (intermittent cooling, IC), and 3) conditioned air cooling during rest plus ambient air ventilation during work (continuous cooling, CC). Four cycles of 40 minutes work (450 watts) and 20 minutes rest were attempted at each condition. The 510 L/m of 20° C conditioned air (85 L/m to the face) was delivered to subjects during rest periods in both the CC and IC trials. In a second set of experiments during continuous work, subjects walked on the treadmill with either: 1) no personal cooling (NC), or 2) ambient air ventilation (AV) until reaching one of the termination criteria specified above.

Data Analysis: Preliminary statistical analysis applying a 3-way ANOVA was conducted using physiological data and ratings from Thermal Comfort and Rated Perceived Exertion including all conditions, and a second 3-way ANOVA was accomplished to specifically compare IC and CC using paired data from these conditions only. Rates of sweat production, and evaporation were analyzed using a 2-way ANOVA. Significance was accepted at the $P = .05$ level for all tests.

RESULTS

During the intermittent work scenario where subjects attempted four hours of work-rest cycles, all Seven subjects completed at least 80 minutes in the NC trial. Initial analysis of these data indicated that individuals receiving cooling performed significantly better both physiologically and perceptually than in the NC condition. Since four of the subjects completed at least 140 minutes work in IC and CC conditions, an additional statistical analysis was conducted up to this point for IC and CC only. This analysis indicated that the increase in rectal temperature and mean skin temperature observed over the first three work periods was significantly greater during IC than CC. Although there were no differences in heart rate during the work cycles, the average heart rate during rest cycles with CC was significantly lower than for IC. Sweat production rates (SP) were significantly lower for CC

and IC than for NC, while the rate for CC was also lower than for IC. Additionally, the sweat evaporation rate for CC was higher than for IC and NC. Therefore, the percentage of sweat evaporated during the CC condition was also significantly greater than for IC or NC.

Use of ambient air ventilation (AV) during 50 minutes of continuous work, resulted in significantly less increase in heat storage. Mean skin temperatures were observed to be significantly higher in the no cooling (NC) scenario. AV also had a significant effect on lowering thermal comfort ratings (TC), which was evident even at the 10 minute point. Sweat production rates (SP) were not different for AV and NC. However, there was a significant difference in sweat evaporation (SP) and percent of sweat evaporation.

DISCUSSION

All cooling scenarios (AV, IC, CC) decreased thermal strain as compared to no cooling trials. Significant differences between continuous cooling and intermittent cooling were observed in the following physiological measures: skin temperature, heat storage, and sweat evaporation efficiency. The 3.8 kg load experienced by subjects when carrying the ambient air cooling unit during work periods might have counteracted some of the expected physiological and psychological benefits from ambient air ventilation. However, since only four subjects completed at least 140 minutes of intermittent work, limited data were analyzed. These data may not be adequate to reflect truly significant physiological effects. It is necessary that additional subjects finish four work-rest cycles with continuous air cooling to more accurately evaluate the full effect of CC. Further reduction in weight of the ambient air cooling unit and optimization of work-rest cycle length would possibly amplify the efficacy of the application of ambient air ventilation. Additionally, the filtered ambient air gained heat from the motor and control panel, increasing inlet air temperature approximately 2-3° C. Therefore, skin temperature and the resulting thermal perception may have also been increased. Another possible improvement may be to increase the air volume to 560 L/m to the body, 140 L/m to the face since most subjects commented that air flow to the face was marginal during work.

CONCLUSION

This backpack, ambient air cooling system has been shown to reduce thermal stress during work when wearing the military CDE. Further improvements would support the main objective of ambient air ventilation which is to maximize the reduction of thermal stress for individuals working in a warm environment while wearing protective garments. The positive air pressure which results from the system may also decrease breathing resistance and increase protection from toxic substances. Consequently, mission effectiveness during a chemical warfare or industrial decontamination scenarios should be enhanced.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to note the critical technical suggestions provided by Dr. A.L. Allen, USA Natick RD&E center IPD/LSSD, regarding the choice of blower and battery hardware.

REFERENCES

1. Shapiro, Y., Pandolf, K.B., Sawka, M.F., Toner, M.M., Winsman, FR., and Goldman, R.F. Auxiliary Cooling: Comparison of Air-Cooled VS. Water-cooled Vests in Hot-Dry and Hot-Wet Environments. *Aviat Space Environ. Med.*, 53: 785-789, 1982.
2. Speckman, K.L., Allan, A.E., Sawka, M.N., Young A.J., Muza, SR., and Pandolf K.B. Perspectives in Microclimate Cooling Involving Protective Clothing in Hot Environments. *International Journal of Indust. Ergon.*, 3: 121-147, 1988.
3. Constable, S.H., Bishop, P.A., Nunneley, S.A., Chen, Y.T. Personal Cooling During Resting Periods with the Chemical Defense Ensemble. *Aviat Space Environ. Med.*, 58: 495, 1987.
4. Constable, S.H., Alleviation of Thermal Stress in Ground Crew Supporting Air Operations During a Chemical Warfare Scenario. NATO/AGARD Conf Proceedings #457, SPS LTD Essex, 1990.
5. Pimental, N.A., Cosimini, HM, Sawka, M.N., and Wenger, C.B. Effectiveness of an Air-Cooled Vest Using Selected Air Temperature and Humidity Combinations. *Aviat Space Environ. Med.*, 58: 119-24, 1987.